LAW OFFICES OF ROBERT L. KRASELNIK, PLLC Robert L. Kraselnik (RK 0684) 40-08 Case Street, 2nd Floor Elmhurst, NY 11373 Tel.: 646-342-2019

Fax: 646-661-1317 Attorneys for Plaintiffs

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

MOHAMMED IQBAL, on behalf of himself and others similarly situated,

Case No.:

Plaintiff,

COMPLAINT

Jury Trial Demanded

v.

58 BRS INC. d/b/a MAHARAJA PALACE,

Defendant.		

Plaintiff, MOHAMMED IQBAL (hereinafter, "Plaintiff"), on behalf of himself and others similarly situated, by and through his undersigned attorneys, hereby files this Complaint against Defendant, 58 BRS INC. d/b/a MAHARAJA PALACE (herein after, "Defendants"), and states as follows:

INTRODUCTION

- 1. Plaintiff alleges, pursuant to the Fair Labor Standards Act, as amended, 29 U.S.C. §§201 et. seq. ("FLSA"), that he is entitled to recover from Defendant: (1) unpaid overtime, (2) liquidated damages, and (3) attorneys' fees and costs.
- 2. Plaintiff further alleges that, pursuant to the New York Labor Law, he is entitled to recover from Defendant: (1) unpaid overtime, (2) unpaid spread of hours premium, (3) liquidated damages and statutory penalties and (4) attorneys' fees and costs.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 3. This Court has jurisdiction over this controversy pursuant to 29 U.S.C. §216(b), 28 U.S.C. §§1331, 1337 and 1343, and has supplemental jurisdiction over Plaintiff's state law claims pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1367.
 - 4. Venue is proper in the Southern District pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1391.

PARTIES

- 5. Plaintiff, MOHAMMED IQBAL, is a resident of Bronx County.
- 6. Upon information and belief, Defendant, 58 BRS INC. d/b/a MAHARAJA PALACE is a corporation organized under the laws of the State of New York, with a Principal place of business located at 931 Amsterdam Avenue, New York, NY 10025 and an address for service of process located at 1350 Madison Avenue, New York, NY 10128.
- 7. At all relevant times, Defendant 58 BRS INC. d/b/a MAHARAJA PALACE was and continues to be an "enterprise engaged in commerce" within the meaning of the FLSA.

8. At all relevant times, the work performed by Plaintiff was directly essential to

the business operated by Defendant.

9. At all relevant times, Defendant knowingly and willfully failed to pay Plaintiff

his lawfully earned overtime wages in direct contravention of the FLSA and the New

York Labor Law.

10. At all relevant times, Defendant knowingly and willfully failed to pay Plaintiff

his lawfully earned spread of hours premium in direct contravention of the New York

Labor Law.

11. Plaintiff has fulfilled all conditions precedent to the institution of this action

and/or such conditions have been waived.

STATEMENT OF FACTS

12. On or about January 1, 2016, Plaintiff, MOHAMMED IQBAL, was hired by

Defendant to work as a food preparer and cook for Defendant's "Maharaja Palace", a

restaurant located at 931 Amsterdam Avenue, New York, NY 10025.

13. Plaintiff worked for Defendant until on or about June 2, 2016.

14. During the employment of Plaintiff, MOHAMMED IQBAL, by Defendant, he

worked over forty (40) hours per week. During MOHAMMED IQBAL'S employment by

Defendant, he often worked over ten (10) hours per day.

15, Plaintiff, MOHAMMED IQBAL, averaged 78.0 hours per week and

sometimes he worked more. For example, during the week of January 1, 2016 thru

January 8, 2016, he worked as follows:

1/1/2016

9:00am-10:00pm

1/2/2016

9:00am-10:00pm

3

1/3/2016	9:00am-10:00pm
1/4/2016	9:00am-10:00pm
1/5/2016	9:00am-10:00pm
1/6/2016	off
1/7/2016	9:00am-10:00pm

Throughout his tenure with Defendant, Plaintiff received a fix weekly salary of \$600. Plaintiff was paid by check and in cash. Plaintiff was never paid at the overtime rate of time and one-half for any hours worked past 40 in a workweek. Additionally, Plaintiff did not receive any breaks during any workweek.

16. Defendant knowingly and willfully operated its business with a policy of not paying either the FLSA overtime rate (of time and one-half) or the New York State overtime rate (of time and one-half) to the Plaintiff and other similarly situated employees.

- 17. Defendant knowingly and willfully operated its business with a policy of not paying the New York State "spread of hours" premium to Plaintiff.
- 18. Defendant knowingly and willfully operated its business with a policy of not providing a proper wage statement to Plaintiff and other similarly situated employees, in violation of the New York Labor Law.
- 19. Plaintiff retained the Law Offices of Robert L. Kraselnik, PLLC to represent him and other employees similarly situated in this litigation and has agreed to pay the firm a reasonable fee for its services.

STATEMENT OF CLAIM

COUNTI

VIOLATION OF THE FAIR LABOR STANDARDS ACT

- 20. Plaintiff realleges and reavers Paragraphs 1 through 19 of this Complaint as if fully set forth herein.
- 21 At all relevant times, upon information and belief, Defendant was and continues to be an employer engaged in interstate commerce and/or the production of goods for commerce within the meaning of the FLSA, 29 U.S.C. §§ 206(a) and 207 (a). Further, Plaintiff is a covered individual within the meaning of the FLSA, 29 U.S.C. §§ 206(a) and 207 (a).
- 22. At all relevant times, Defendant employed Plaintiff within the meaning of the PLSA.
- 23. Upon information and belief, at all relevant times, Defendant, 58 BRS INC. d/b/a MAHARAJA PALACE had gross annual revenues in excess of \$500,000.
- 24. At all relevant times, the Defendant had a policy and practice of refusing to pay overtime compensation at the statutory rate of time and one-half to Plaintiff for his hours worked in excess of forty hours per workweek.
- 25. Defendant failed to pay Plaintiff overtime compensation in the lawful amount for hours worked in excess of the maximum hours provided for in the FLSA.
- 26. Records, if any, concerning the number of hours worked by Plaintiff and the actual compensation paid to Plaintiff should be in the possession and custody of the Defendant. Plaintiff intends to obtain these records by appropriate discovery proceedings

to be taken promptly in this case and, if necessary, will then seek leave of Court to amend this Complaint to set forth the precise amount due.

- 27. Defendant knew of and/or showed a willful disregard for the provisions of the FLSA as evidenced by their failure to compensate Plaintiff at the statutory rate of time and one-half for his hours worked in excess of forty (40) hours per week when Defendants knew or should have known such was due.
- 28. Defendant failed to properly disclose or apprise Plaintiff of his rights under the FLSA.
- 29. As a direct and proximate result of Defendant's willful disregard of the FLSA, Plaintiff is entitled to liquidated damages pursuant to the FLSA.
- 30. Due to the intentional, willful and unlawful acts of Defendant, Plaintiff suffered damages in an amount not presently of ascertainable of unpaid overtime wages, plus an equal amount as liquidated damages.
- 31. Plaintiff is entitled to an award of his reasonable attorneys' fees and costs pursuant to 29 U.S.C. §216(b).

COUNT II

VIOLATION OF THE NEW YORK LABOR LAW

- 32. Plaintiff realleges and reavers Paragraphs 1 through 31 of this Complaint as if fully set forth herein.
- 33. At all relevant times, Plaintiff was employed by the Defendant within the meaning of the New York Labor Law, §§2 and 651.

- 34. Defendant willfully violated Plaintiff's rights by failing to pay Plaintiff overtime compensation at rates not less than one and one-half times the regular rate of pay for each hour worked in excess of forty hours in a workweek.
- 35. Defendant willfully violated Plaintiff's rights by failing to pay "spread of hours" premium to Plaintiff for each workday exceeding ten (10) or more hours.
- 36. Defendant knowingly and willfully operated their business with a policy of not providing a proper wage statement to Plaintiff and other similarly situated employees, in violation of the New York Labor Law.
- 37. Defendant willfully violated Plaintiff's rights by failing to provide him proper notices and wage statements in violation of the New York Wage Theft Prevention Act, N.Y. Lab. Law § 198(1-a) (enacted on April 9, 2011).
- 38. As a result of Defendant's violation of the New York Wage Theft Prevention Act, Plaintiff is entitled to damages of at least \$150 per week during which the violations occurred and/or continue to occur.
- 39. Due to the Defendant's New York Labor Law violations, Plaintiff is entitled to recover from Defendant his unpaid overtime, unpaid "spread of hours" premium, statutory penalties, damages for unreasonably delayed payments, reasonable attorneys' fees, and costs and disbursements of the action, pursuant to New York Labor Law §663(1).

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff on behalf of himself and all similarly situated employees, respectfully requests that this Court grant the following relief:

a. A declaratory judgment that the practices complained of herein are unlawful under the FLSA and the New York Labor Law:

- b. An injunction against Defendant and its officers, agents, successors, employees, representatives and any and all persons acting in concert with them as provided by law, from engaging in each of the unlawful practices, policies and patterns set forth herein;
- An award of unpaid overtime compensation due under the FLSA and the New York Labor Law;
- d. An award of unpaid "spread of hours" premium due under the New York
 Labor Law;
- e. An award of liquidated and/or punitive damages as a result of Defendant's willful failure to pay overtime compensation pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 216;
- f. An award of statutory penalties including statutory penalties under the Wage Theft Prevention Act, and prejudgment and postjudgment interest;
- g. An award of liquidated and/or punitive damages as a result of Defendant's willful failure to pay overtime compensation and "spread of hours" premium pursuant to the New York Labor Law;
- An award of statutory penalties, and prejudgment and postjudgment interest;
- j. An award of costs and expenses of this action together with reasonable attorneys' and expert fees; and
- Such other and further relief as this Court deems just and proper.

JURY DEMAND

Pursuant to Rule 38(b) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, Plaintiff demands trial by jury on all issues so triable as of right by jury.

Dated: August 4, 2016

Respectfully submitted,

LAW OFFICES OF ROBERT L. KRASELNIK, PLLC Robert L. Kraselnik (RK 0684) 40-08 Case Street, 2nd Floor Elmhurst, NY 11373 Tel.: 646-342-2019

Fax: 646-661-1317 Attorney for Plaintiff

By: _	
-	ROBERT KRASELNIK (RK 0684)